

Jamaican Drug Offender Claims UK Asylum Process Is Detrimental to Her Mental Health

June 24, 2025

— Categories: Human Rights



A Jamaican woman convicted of supplying Class A drugs is at the centre of a legal and ethical debate after claiming that the repeated process of applying to remain in the UK is severely impacting her mental health. Known only as AXS for legal reasons, the woman has launched six asylum applications since her initial arrival in the UK in 2002, citing fears for her safety and deteriorating mental condition if returned to Jamaica.

AXS was sentenced to six years in prison in 2003, just a year after arriving in Britain. Following her release, deportation proceedings began as per UK immigration policy.

However, she successfully delayed removal through a series of legal appeals, arguing that she would face inhumane treatment in Jamaica due to her sexual orientation, history of sexual abuse, and severe mental illness, including paranoid schizophrenia and a diagnosed intellectual disability, with an IQ of 61.

Her asylum bids, lodged in 2008, 2010, 2012, 2015, 2019, and 2023, have all resulted in limited leave to remain, but not permanent residency. Most recently, she requested Indefinite Leave to Remain (ILR), asserting that the stress and uncertainty of reapplying every few years are contributing to significant mental decline. A consultant clinical psychologist, Dr Rachel Thomas, told the tribunal that the “repeated act of applying for further leave was retraumatising” and that AXS’s mental health was unlikely to improve unless granted permanent stability.

Despite these concerns, the Upper Tribunal of the Immigration and Asylum Chamber refused to intervene, noting that Home Secretary Yvette Cooper is already reviewing the case. In his ruling, Judge Mark Blundell stated that it would be inappropriate for the tribunal to act as the primary decision-maker without a formal outcome from the Secretary of State. He acknowledged the mental health implications but stressed that “the facts remain very much in dispute,” particularly regarding the extent to which the denial of ILR affects the applicant’s wellbeing.

AXS has been granted further limited leave to remain until 2026 while her application for permanent residency is under reconsideration. Her legal case has reignited discussions about the intersection of criminal convictions, human rights protections, and mental health within the UK immigration system.

While her supporters argue that she is a vulnerable individual deserving of compassion and long-term security, critics warn that the case reflects a potential loophole in the asylum system, one where serious offenders can delay deportation indefinitely through repeated legal challenges.

As the Home Office deliberates, the outcome of this case could set a precedent for how the UK balances public safety, human rights obligations, and mental health considerations in complex immigration matters.