

Air Force Confirms AI Experiment Accelerated Kill Chain Decisions

July 23, 2025

— Categories: Defence & Security



The U.S. Air Force recently completed a major exercise aimed at accelerating battlefield decisions using artificial intelligence, a development that underscores efforts to integrate human-machine teaming while preserving operational oversight. In a four-day test known as Experiment 3, held at Nellis Air Force Base in early June, AI tools were

deployed to support target identification and track-to-engage processes within a realistic combat scenario.

Led by the 805th Combat Training Squadron, the exercise integrated the Maven Smart System, an AI application aligned with the Pentagon's broader Algorithmic Warfare initiative, into the kill chain. AI generated real-time targeting recommendations, which operators then compared against traditional human-led decision-making. Lt. Col. Shawn Finney emphasized the purpose was to "support, not replace" human judgment. Feedback showed a substantial reduction in operator workload and faster decision cycles, while still relying on human intuition for evaluating complex situational and ethical factors.

According to Air Force officials, AI assistance significantly improved decision speed and accuracy, although specific performance metrics were not released. In related defense experiments, similar AI-driven tools have reportedly increased battle staff decision speed by up to seven times without a corresponding rise in error rates.

Secretary of the Air Force Frank Kendall has previously credited AI-enabled tools—used in live targeting processes as early as 2021, with helping operators manage vast amounts of intelligence data, ease cognitive burdens, and improve response time to threats.

Importantly, all AI-generated recommendations in Experiment 3 were subject to human approval, consistent with Department of Defense policy requiring human oversight in lethal decision-making. This reflects a cautious but strategic approach: employing advanced tools while ensuring control remains firmly in human hands.

As the Pentagon adapts to faster-paced and data-saturated future battlefields, the integration of AI into command-and-control systems is seen by many as essential to maintaining a competitive edge, particularly against peer adversaries in contested regions. Proponents argue that AI augments decision-making in high-pressure environments by improving both speed and precision.

However, critics continue to warn against unchecked reliance on automated systems, emphasizing the need for strict safeguards, clear rules of engagement, and robust testing to prevent unintended consequences.

Further experiments involving deeper AI integration are expected later this year. For conservative defense strategists, the takeaway is clear: technological advantage matters but must be paired with accountability, restraint, and a commitment to ethical warfare.