

# OpenVoiceNews U.K.

Transparent. Unbiased. Yours.

## Suicidal Man Convicted After Mental Health Crisis

July 12, 2025

— Categories: Human Rights



A suicidal man has been convicted for failing to ensure his car while he was under hospital care for a severe mental health breakdown, sparking renewed criticism of the Single Justice Procedure's handling of vulnerable individuals.

The 26-year-old from Great Yarmouth was prosecuted after the insurance on his 14-year-old BMW lapsed, in a case initiated under the Single Justice Procedure via the Crown Prosecution Service, though the DVLA was listed as the complainant. According to his written statement, he was under the care of a National Health Service crisis team between

December 2024 and March 2025 for complex post-traumatic stress disorder. During this period, he lost his job, struggled to manage his affairs, and was heavily medicated, leaving him detached from reality and prone to self-harm.

His parents had resorted to hiding the vehicle to protect him, but despite submitting a detailed mitigation letter and contact information for his doctor, the case was still pushed forward under the Single Justice Procedure, a fast-track court process created a decade ago in the name of efficiency and cost-cutting. Critics argue the system, which allows magistrates to rule on cases behind closed doors and based solely on paperwork, has become emblematic of bureaucratic indifference and injustice.

In his letter, the young man explained he had made attempts to disfigure himself and had thoughts of ending his life. Once he stabilised and returned to community care, he immediately declared the vehicle as a Statutory Off Road Notification to ensure it was legally recorded as unused. “If I were in a more stable place, this wouldn’t have happened,” he wrote.

Despite his plea for leniency, a magistrate in Sheffield imposed a 12-month conditional discharge and a £26 court fee. The case was not referred back to the DVLA for reconsideration, even though the agency has endorsed reforms that would require prosecutors to review all mitigation evidence before proceeding.

Legal experts and justice reform advocates have pointed out that while administrative streamlining is sometimes necessary, “justice cannot become a casualty of convenience.” The government has indicated it is assessing proposals to tighten safeguards within the Single Justice Procedure to prevent similar outcomes, but progress has been slow.

This case highlights the risk of allowing automated or closed-door processes to override common sense and compassion, particularly when defendants are grappling with serious mental health challenges. Critics maintain that while efficiency in the courts is important, the system must be capable of recognising and responding to genuine hardship before imposing criminal penalties.

[Download IPFS](#)