

Starmer Says He Had to ‘Deal With’ Rebel Labour MPs Over Welfare Revolt

July 18, 2025

— Categories: Politics & Government



Prime Minister Sir Keir Starmer has defended his decision to suspend four Labour MPs for voting against his government’s welfare reform legislation, stating that he must “deal with people who repeatedly break the whip.” His comments underline a growing determination to enforce internal discipline and maintain control over the party’s legislative agenda.

Speaking during a press conference following his bilateral meeting with German Chancellor Friedrich Merz, Starmer made it clear that all Labour MPs were elected on a shared manifesto for change and are expected to “deliver as a Labour government.” The suspended MPs, Rachael Maskell, Neil Duncan—Jordan, Brian Leishman, and Chris Hinchliff, defied the party whip by opposing welfare reform measures. Starmer said the suspensions were necessary due to “persistent breaches of discipline.”

The legislation at the heart of the rebellion involved controversial changes to welfare eligibility criteria and reductions in certain benefits, prompting criticism from within Labour’s backbenches. Earlier this year, over 100 Labour MPs rebelled against a similar welfare bill, forcing the government to water down key proposals. Starmer’s latest action is seen as an attempt to prevent a repeat of that setback.

In defending his approach, Starmer told reporters that the party cannot be “deflected” from implementing its policy agenda. He argued that maintaining order within the Parliamentary Labour Party is essential to delivering on public expectations and ensuring fiscal responsibility.

From a centre-right perspective, the decision to suspend MPs who challenge the party line reflects a focus on accountability and coherent governance. Enforcing party discipline, especially on fiscal matters, helps avoid fragmented messaging and shows a commitment to managing public spending responsibly.

However, critics warn that simply punishing dissent may not resolve deeper divisions within the party. Some political analysts suggest that Starmer’s top-down approach risks reinforcing perceptions of internal

strife, and that building consensus through engagement would be more effective in the long term.

Looking ahead, Labour's ability to maintain unity will be tested further as it prepares for contentious votes on immigration and public services. While Starmer may have succeeded in asserting authority for now, the broader question remains whether internal cohesion can be sustained without alienating parts of his own parliamentary base.

In the eyes of voters, leadership is measured not only by discipline but by the ability to unite a party around shared goals. Whether Starmer can do both remains to be seen.