NATO leaders are gathering in The Hague for what could become either a defining moment of unity or a glaring display of division within the alliance. At the center of the storm is the push for member countries to ramp up their defense spending to 5% of GDP, a steep demand that has already ignited furious debate. While some nations are scrambling to meet this target, others like Spain have negotiated their way out of it, refusing to buckle under the pressure. This uneven commitment exposes cracks in the once rock-solid alliance and raises the question: can NATO hold itself together when members can't agree on how much they should invest in their defense?

But defense budgets aren't the only source of tension. The summit is also grappling with the thorny issue of Ukraine's potential membership in NATO. Eastern European nations, wary of Russian aggression, are pushing hard for Kyiv to receive a clear invitation. However, powerful voices, including the U.S. and Germany, are urging caution. They argue Ukraine must first meet a laundry list of requirements and warn that moving too quickly could provoke an even more dangerous escalation with Moscow. This internal debate reveals a fragile alliance balancing its desire to support Ukraine's sovereignty against the grim reality of risking a wider war with Russia.

Adding to the complexity is the summit's compressed schedule, a single working session and a dinner. This tight format seems designed to avoid open conflict among 32 member countries, including the new entrants Sweden and Finland, whose priorities don't always align with older members. But the risk is that vital discussions will be rushed, leaving simmering disagreements unresolved and undermining NATO's ability to act decisively.

At a time when global threats loom large, from Russian aggression to rising tensions in the Middle East, the alliance desperately needs cohesion. Yet this summit threatens to spotlight the fractures that have been growing beneath the surface for years. The decisions made in The Hague won't just shape NATO's defense policies; they could determine whether this historic alliance remains a united force or fractures into rival camps, weakened and vulnerable just when the world needs it most. The stakes have never been higher, and the world is watching to see if NATO can rise to the challenge or fall apart under the pressure.